Clogging rate of recharge wells in porous media

N.A. Buik and A. Willemsen
IF Technology, Arnhem, the Netherlands

ABSTRACT: It is common practice to use the 45μm membrane filter index, MFI, to estimate the clogging potential of water that has to be infiltrated using recharge wells (Olsthoorn 1982). However, a quantitative relation between clogging rate and MFI was not available. Pyne (1995) shows that the hydraulic conductivity of the aquifer has an influence on the clogging rate, but this influence is also not quantified. Published data and new experiments show that the MFI that is measured for a certain water type, depends on the square of the pore size of the filter that is used to measure the MFI. The pore size of the porous medium where water is infiltrated in, can be estimated from grain-size distributions and is related to the hydraulic conductivity of the medium. When the quadratic relation between MFI and pore size is taken into account, the theoretical relation between MFI and clogging rate as published by Olsthoorn (1982) yields values that are close to actual field measurements. Predicted clogging rates using this new theoretical relation are compared to measured rates in recharge wells used for aquifer thermal-energy storage and are also compared to measured clogging rates in ASR wells as published by Pyne (1995). Both datasets show that the clogging rates of these wells can be predicted satisfactorily, especially considering the uncertainties in measured parameters like MFI and hydraulic conductivity.

1 INTRODUCTION

Clogging of wells that are used for Artificial Recharge is a well-known phenomenon. In general, four different type of clogging mechanisms are distinguished (Olsthoorn 1982): 1) clogging caused by gas bubbles; 2) clogging caused by bacteria; 3) clogging due to chemical reactions and; 4) clogging caused by suspended matter. Clogging with gas bubbles can be prevented by keeping the pressure in the system above the total dissolved gas pressure and by refraining from throttling the flow. Clogging due to chemical reactions is very common, but can be prevented by assuring chemical compatibility between infiltration water and aquifer water. Clogging due to bacterial growth occurs when the amount of assimilable organic carbon, AOC, in the water that is infiltrated is high enough to facilitate bacterial growth around the infiltration well. Pretreatment may be necessary to lower the amount of AOC in the water to acceptable levels before efficient infiltration is possible. In many cases clogging due to the presence of particulate material is the most troublesome. Olsthoorn (1982) has shown that there is a relation between the membrane filter index (MFI) and the clogging rate of a recharge well and that the MFI is the best parameter to predict the clogging potential of water that has to be infiltrated. However, a reproducible quantitative relation between clogging rate and MFI has not been presented yet. The lack of such a quantitative relation makes costly field tests necessary for proper design of a well field for infiltration wells. Recently, Bouwer (2002) confirmed this unfortunate situation. The present paper describes a quantitative, theoretically correct relation between the MFI and the clogging rate of recharge wells due to suspended matter.

2 OLSTHOORN’S INFILTRATION THEORY

According to Olsthoorn (1982) clogging caused by both straining and physical-chemical filtration can be described by the following equation:

$$\Delta h_v = \left(\frac{1}{\rho_w g}\right)\left(\frac{c\mu_d}{k_c}\right)v^2 t$$

where Δh_v = increment of pressure caused by clogging [m]; ρ_w = density of the infiltrated water

[kg/m^3]; g = gravity acceleration [m/s^2]; c = concentration of suspended matter in the infiltration water [kg/m^3]; μ_d = dynamic viscosity [Ns/m^2]; k_c = intrinsic hydraulic conductivity of the filter cake on the borehole wall [m^2]; v = infiltration rate on the borehole wall [m/s]; t = infiltration time [s].

3 MEMBRANE FILTER INDEX

One of the best parameters to predict the clogging potential of infiltration water, is the MFI. The MFI is equal to the slope of the line that describes the inverse of the flow rate versus the amount of water that passes a membrane filter with 0.45 μm pores under a constant pressure for standard conditions and can be described with Equation 2 (Olsthoorn 1982):

$$MFI = \frac{\mu_d}{2pA_f^2}\frac{c}{k_c} \tag{2}$$

where MFI = membrane filter index [s/l^2]; p = pressure loss [N/m^2]; A_f = area of the filter [m^2].

If an MFI of 1 s/l^2 is directly translated (with equation 1 and 2) into a clogging rate for an infiltration well under standard conditions ($A_f = 1.38\ 10^{-3}$ m^2 for a standard membrane filter; $p = 2\ 10^5$ Pa; $\mu_d = 1.3\ 10^{-3}$ Ns/m^2 and v = 1 m/h on the borehole wall, a 'common' value for infiltration wells), the calculated clogging rate of more than 2,000 m/yr is not compatible with measured clogging rates of around 0.1 m/yr in the field (Olsthoorn 1982). This demonstrates that a clogging rate derived with a filter with a pore size of 0.45 μm can not be translated directly into a clogging rate for an infiltration well. Olsthoorn (1982) found that the calculated clogging rates were more compatible to clogging rates for water flood wells in oil fields. Olsthoorn attributed the difference to the fact that the pore size of the receiving formation is closer to that of the MFI-membrane than the pore size in groundwater environments.

The relation between the pore size of the membrane filter and the MFI has been measured by KIWA (1984) and by IF Technology (1998), see figure 1. This figure show that there is a relation between the MFI and the pore size. Both fits are based on a quadratic relation between the area of a pore and the MFI. This quadratic relation shows a very strong correlation with the measurements (R^2 = 0.99 and 1.00, respectively). The slope of each fit is about two (MFI = x (pore size)$^{-\alpha}$, $\alpha \approx 2$).



Figure 1: Measurements of the MFI with filters with different pore sizes, R^2 =0.99 (KIWA, 1984) and R^2 =1.00 (IF Technology, 2000).

The MFI measured with a standard membrane filter can now be translated into an MFI that is valid for other pore sizes (see Equation 3).

$$MFI_{cor} = MFI_{mea}\frac{A_{fp}}{A_p} \tag{3}$$

where MFI_{cor} = corrected MFI; MFI_{mea} = measured MFI; A_{fp} = area of a pore of the used filter; A_p = area of a pore for which the MFI must be corrected.

To calculate the ratio between the pore size of the aquifer and the pore size of the filter, it is necessary to estimate the pore size of the aquifer. According to Holtz & Kovacs (1981) the effective pore size is about a sixth of the median grain size of the sand (D_{50}).

In an aquifer with a D_{50} of 300 μm the effective pore size is then about 50 μm. A measured MFI of 1 s/l^2 (pore size 0.45 μm) will give a corrected MFI of $8.1\ 10^{-5}$ s/l^2 (Equation 3). The calculated clogging rate (for an MFI of 1 s/l^2 and v = 1 m/h) is now about 0.20 m/yr, which is a realistic value.

Equation 1, 2 and 4 can be combined and rewritten as:

$$\frac{\Delta h}{t} = \frac{2MFI_{mea}pA_f^2}{\rho_w g}\frac{t}{t_0}\frac{\mu}{\mu_0}\frac{A_{fp}}{A_p}v^2 \tag{4}$$

The ratios t/t_0 and μ/μ_0 are added to make corrections for the amount of equivalent full load hours per year (t_0=8760 h) and temperature influences (μ_0 = viscosity at 10°C), and the ratio A_{fp}/A_p is added to translate a measured MFI to an MFI for the aquifer. For practical use the D_{50} is translated into hydraulic conductivity with a relation derived by Shepherd (1989):

$$k = 150\ (D_{50}\ 10^3)^{1.65} \tag{5}$$

with D_{50} in [m] and k in [m/d].

If the standard circumstances for the MFI measurement are substituted in (5), the equation can be simplified and rewritten to (t is replaced by u_{eq} and $\Delta h_v/t$ is replaced by v_v i.e. the clogging rate):

$$v_v = 2 \cdot 10^{-6} MFI_{mea} u_{eq} \frac{v_b^2}{\left(\frac{k}{150}\right)^{1.2}} \qquad (6)$$

where u_{eq} = amount of equivalent full load hours per year [h] (m^3 infiltrated per year divided by max. flow rate in [m^3/h]); v_v = clogging rate [m/yr]; v_b = infiltration rate on the borehole wall [m/h].

The water that is infiltrated will not be distributed equally over the height of the aquifer but it is divided over the well screen in relation to the hydraulic conductivity of the aquifer. This means that layers with a high hydraulic conductivity are receiving more water than layers with a low hydraulic conductivity. The infiltration rate in layers with a high hydraulic conductivity is therefore higher then in layers with a low hydraulic conductivity, and because the clogging rate is quadratically related to the infiltration rate (and linear to the MFI), these layers with a high hydraulic conductivity will clog faster than layers with a low hydraulic conductivity.

This process will continue until all layers are receiving the same amount of water. So the clogging rate has to be corrected for the heterogeneity in the aquifer. This proces will be illustrated by an example. The data used for this example are taken from an ATES-project in the Netherlands.

Total amount of infiltrated water/year:	500,000 m³
MFI:	1 s/l^2
Infiltration rate:	100 m³/h
Equivalent full load hours per year:	5,000 h
Diameter of the borehole:	1,125 mm
Transmissivity:	600 m2/d
Well screen length:	30 m

Table 1. Influences of hetrogeneities on the clogging rate

section	k [m/d]	H [m]	amount of water per section* [m³]	v_v [m/yr]
a	12	3	30,000	0.07
b	50	4	166,667	0.21
c	14	3	35,000	0.08
d	22	6	110,000	0.11
e	20	5	83,333	0.10
f	10	9	75,000	0.06
			weighted average	0.13
average	20	30	500,000	0.1

* ($Q_i = Q_{tot}\ k_i H_i / k_{ava} H_{tot}$)

This example makes clear that the clogging rate in a hetrogeneous aquifer is larger than in a homogeneous aquifer. In this case the clogging rate increases with 30 %.

4 THEORY VERSUS PRACTICE

Equation 6 is used to predict the clogging rate of several existing infiltration wells. To verify the equation, a comparison has been made between calculated and measured clogging rates. The measurements are gathered by IF Technology (2000) and by Pyne (1995). All data have been measured without changing the flow direction in the wells. So the measured clogging rates are not influenced by backflushing or flow reversals.



Figure 2: Comparison between measured clogging rates and calculated clogging rates with Equation 6; drawn line = 1:1, R^2 = 0.96 (data IF Technology, 2000).



Figure 3: Comparison between measured clogging rates and calculated clogging rates with Equation 6; drawn line = 1:1, R^2 = 0.68 (data Pyne, 1995).

The figures show that the calculated clogging rates agree remarkably well with the measured clogging rates (R^2 = 0.96 and 0.68, respectively). The low correlation in Figure 3 is caused by one measured clogging rate that is much lower than the calculated one at a very high MFI (79.2 s/l^2).

5 DISCUSSION

The relation between calculated and measured clogging rates is surprisingly good, especially considering the fact that:

1 measured parameters such as the clogging rate, the hydraulic conductivity and the MFI may not be very accurate;

2 two empirical relations are used to relate the pore size to the hydraulic conductivity. These empirical relations are not very accurate and depend on local circumstances such as the clay content in the aquifer, the degree of sorting of the sand, etc;

3 the material that is clogging the pores of 0.45 μm size may be different from the material clogging pores of 50 μm in size (although the very good relation between MFI and pore size suggests that at least for those circumstances the process is identical).

Given the uncertainties and the low number of data it could be chance that the relation is so good. But the fact that two independent data sets have been used, that have been measured under different circumstances (groundwater infiltration in the Netherlands and surface water infiltration in the USA), makes this not very likely.

IF Technology has been involved in the realization of more the 500 recharge wells for Aquifer Thermal Energy Storage (ATES; see e.g. Doughty et al., 1982) in the Netherlands. The clogging rates presented in Figure 2 are based on measured clogging rates for six ATES projects in the Netherlands. Unfortunately accurate data for clogging rates are for many projects not available. For the projects where accurate data are available (about 30 projects), only 20% show signs of clogging. The other 80% of the projects do not clog at all. The cause of this difference is not clear. The theory presented in this paper makes it possible to predict the rate when clogging occurs, but it can not predict whether clogging occurs or not. The remaining question is now when clogging will occure.

Since the year 2000, recharge wells for ATES are designed according to the theory discussed in this paper. Wells designed since then are not very different then the wells designed before 2000, except that wells are now larger in diameter for low permeable aquifers and smaller for high permeable aquifers. Clogging of recharge wells for ATES projects designed by IF Technology has seldom been a problem. The only clogging problems that have been encountered occurred in low permeable aquifers. We expect that use of the new theory will prevent these problems as well.

When infiltrating groundwater, as is the case with ATES, it is important to know the MFI of the groundwater that has to be infiltrated. MFI values of natural groundwater measured in the Netherlands vary from 0.5 to 5 s/l^2. It is not known what the cause is of the range in MFI values encountered, but it is likely that this range is related to the chemical composition of the groundwater and the sedimentological properties of the aquifer. Design of production wells is different to the design of infiltration wells. As production of groundwater from an aquifer also induces filtration of the groundwater that passes the aquifer around the well, the MFI might be an important parameter to predict clogging of production wells as well. These aspects deserve further attention.

A question that still remains is the influence of backflushing of the well and of flow reversals. Recharge wells that clog are often backflushed frequently. The clogging rate described in this paper is able to quantify the rate of clogging between two periods of backflushing. The amount of clogging that is removed during backflushing (or flow reversal), and the influence of the amount of clogging that remains, is still hard to assess.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support for this research was provided by NOVEM (The Netherlands Agency for Energy and the Environment, contract nr. 149.508.105.0). We also gratefully acknowledge Theo Olsthoorn for his useful comments on this work.

REFERENCES

Bouwer, H., 2002, Arftificial recharge of groundwater: hydrogeology and engineering, Hydrogeology Journal (2002) 10:121-142.

Driscoll, F.G., 1989, Groundwater and wells, Johnson filtration systems inc. St. Pauls, Minnesota, USA.

Doughty, C., Hellström, G., Tsang, C.F., 1982, A dimensionless parameter approch to the thermal behaviour of an aquifer thermal energy storage system, Water Resourses Research, vol. 18, No 3, pp. 571-587.

Holtz, R.D., Kovacs, D., 1981, An introduction to geotechnical engineering, Prentice-Hall inc., Englewood Cliffs, USA.

IF Technology, 2000, Ontwerpnormen voor bronnen voor koude-/warmteopslag (Desing criteria for ATES wells; in Dutch), Arnhem.

KIWA, 1984, Ervaringen met diepinfiltratie (Experiences with deep infiltration; in Dutch), mededeling nr. 79, Nieuwegein.

Olsthoorn, T.N. 1982 The Clogging of Recharge Wells, Main Subjects, Kiwa communication No. 72, Rijwijk, Netherlands, 136pp.

Pyne, D., 1995, Groundwaterrecharge and wells: A guide to aquifer storage recovery, Lewis publishers, CRC Press, USA.

Shepherd, R. G., 1989, Correlations of permeability and grain size, Ground Water 27, 5:633-638.